

Greatmoor EfW – Community Liaison Group

9TH December 2015

Attendees: list to be updated

- G Sinclair FCC
- J Agnew FCC
- P Alveraz FCC
- A. Rafferty FCC
- D Wiget HZI
- Chris Mitchell EA
- Kevin Hewson AVDC
- J Chilver Buckinghamshire County Council
- Gurbaksh Badhan Buckinghamshire County Council
- Tom Morrison Hogshaw Parish
- B Pethwick Calvert Green Parish Council
- D. Evershed Marsh Gibbon Parish Council
- R. Webster Edgcott Parish Council
- J Knox Quainton Parish Council
- J. Plattner Hillesden Parish Council
- S. D'Amon Charndon Parish Council
- S. Cooper-Evans Middle Claydon Parish Council
- I. Smith Kingswood Parish Council
- N Payne Woodham PM
- M. Campbell Local Resident

Distribution:

- All Attendees

Actions Arising:

Action	Description
1.	FCC to provide copy of revised EMP once approved by Planners once available.
2.	Provide CLG rational for temporary newt fencing at entrance to Creighton Road.
3.	Provide copy of rail investigation planning condition report.
4.	J Agnew to email a copy of the powerpoint presentation and C Mitchell's email address.

Item	Minutes
1.	<p>Attendance, Minutes of Previous Meeting</p> <p>Review of previous actions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ongoing, EMP will be circulated in due course 2. Ongoing 3. Ongoing <p>Minutes accepted.</p>
2.	<p>Matters Arising</p> <p>Questions raised in advance of the meeting;</p> <p>Question raised; At previous meetings FCC has not been able to say definitively how the hazardous fly ash (APCR) from the incinerator will be treated and disposed of. The planning application envisaged a hazardous fly ash treatment plant being built on site and the treated hazardous waste being disposed of in Pit 6 as per the County Council's policy in the Minerals and Waste Core Strategy. Recently it has been suggested that instead the fly ash would be transported for treatment elsewhere and final disposal in landfill. Can FCC now confirm exactly how the fly ash will be treated and disposed of please? Is the Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for sorting the delivered rubbish to the bunker available for examination?</p> <p>Gillian Sinclair's response; As previously discussed the APCR can no longer be disposed of at Calvert Landfill site. The material will be tankered off site and taken to an FCC hazardous waste treatment plant for treatment. Treatment of the APCR involves neutralising the lime rich material. The material can then be disposed of a non hazardous landfill site.</p> <p>Question raised; Is it expensive to treat hazardous waste?</p> <p>Gillian Sinclair's response; Treating hazardous waste is an expensive cost, but is in line with the base case. In September an explanation was emailed out to everyone prior to the September meeting. Please look at that response again.</p> <p>Question raised; The planning application envisaged that there would be a treatment plant on site for the conversion of incinerator bottom ash into building material. Are there still plans for this or how else will the bottom ash be dealt with and disposed of?</p> <p>Gillian Sinclair's response; FCC is currently reviewing its options with regard to Bottom Ash treatment. In the short term the material will be taken to a designated area on the landfill site where it will be stored in line with the EA bottom ash protocol. Here the material is sampled to ensure that it is not hazardous.</p> <p>Question raised; Update on arrangements for the disposal of IBA.</p> <p>Gillian Sinclair's response; The material needs to be tested and until then will be stored at the landfill to be disposed of accordingly subject to testing and classification</p>

Item	Minutes
	<p>of the material.</p> <p>Question raised; Update on arrangements for the construction of a new rail siding, and in particular the likely timing of any changes to current plans?</p> <p>Gillian Sinclair's response; Following select committee FCC has been working closely with HS2 to understand the deliverability of the proposed rail siding. A further update will be provided at the next meeting.</p>
	<p>Chris Mitchell provided a presentation;</p> <p>Action – J Agnew to email the presentation the CLG, and CM email address so that further questions can be raised directly.</p> <p>Questions raised to C Mitchell</p> <p>Question raised; Who is monitoring the site? Are FCC monitoring themselves.</p> <p>Gillian Sinclair's response; No.</p> <p>Question raised; How many people are desinated to Greatmoor EfW?</p> <p>Chris Mitchell's reponse; There are two people, however, we get support from different teams.</p> <p>Question raised; What work have you done on Ardley, in connection with the closeness to Greatmoor, has it been considered?</p> <p>Chris Mitchell's response; The distance is too great for any impact on Greatmoor.</p> <p>Question raised; What short cuts will you be looking for?</p> <p>Chris Mitchell's response; None</p> <p>Question raised; If Greatmoor is short of waste coming in and have to shut down what happens?</p> <p>Gillian Sinclair's response; We have the benefit to source multiple potential third party waste. Currently UK export waste to Europe as we dont have the capacity here in the UK. It is not in FCC interest no to fill with waste.</p> <p>Question raised; Sorting of the waste?</p> <p>Gillian Sinclair's reponse; A mixing of of the waste will be carried out using cranes. The cranes will be manned in the day time and automatic through the night. We do checks with the waste, visual inspections.</p>

Item	Minutes
	<p>Question raised; There was a recent research about down wind of children suffering from diseases?</p> <p>Chris Mitchell's response; DERFA maintains that research is always ongoing. Checks go in before permit is issued.</p> <p>Discussions regarding the email Gillian Sinclair issued.</p> <p>Gillian Sinclair advised; During November a visible black plume from the stack. The plume is as a result of start up of the burners.</p> <p>Daniel Wiget response; HZI had issues with the infeed, the design of the nozzles were not good and they had to be re-designed. HZI had experts and replaced the nozzles. A substantial improvement has been noticed. The burners have been running since Sunday 6th December 2015 with no visible plume. HZI are convinced the situation has been addressed.</p> <p>Question raised; There has been a smell of diesel in Middle Claydon.</p> <p>Daniel Wiget response; We wouldnt expect the smell once in commission. Once the system is tested and signed off we will not expect a plume of smoke or smell of diesel.</p> <p>Question raised; Are you burning waste?</p> <p>Daniel Wiget response; No we are using red diesel to drive the burners.</p> <p>Question raised; Is it normal process to use the burners?</p> <p>Daniel Wiget response; The burners are only used for start up, when ramped up to 80% heat.</p> <p>Question raised; Is the first fire still in December?</p> <p>Gillian Sinclair's response; Yes, waste has been received, we are currently only accepting Bucks waste. We are building up a volume of waste in lthe bunker ready for first fire waste. Once operating will bring in extra waste.</p>
3.	<p>Site Report</p> <p><u>Greatmoor</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Finish cold commission. - Start to accept waste. - Number of certificates issued by Independent Certifier. - First waste burn in the next couple of weeks. - Postion to the IBA sample and testing has been agreed.

Item	Minutes
<p>4.</p>	<p>Any Other Business</p> <p>Question - Road signs on the A41?</p> <p>Answer - Been installed</p> <p>Question - Lighting on the site has been brighter why is that?</p> <p>Answer - Permananet lights are in place, Daniel Wiget will check the lights</p> <p>Question - Will the red light always be on the stack</p> <p>Answer - The lights are in line with the civil aviation authority and in line with regulations.</p> <p>Question - In the event FCC goes into liquidation what plans do BCC have for take over?</p> <p>Answer - BCC have step in rights and the staff will remain and be employed by BCC</p> <p>Question - BCC business case for the contract - does it still stack up for BCC including transporting of the APCR is there an extra cost compared to the base case?</p> <p>Answer - BCC business case still viable as compared to disposing material to landfill and therefore landfill costs.</p>
	<p>END</p> <p>DONM 09/03/2016 6.30pm (To be held in Calvert Green Landfill meeting room)</p>